

REMARKS


Claims 12 and 15 have been rewritten in independent form including all the limitations of base claim 1 and all intervening claims. It is respectfully requested that Examiner White identify what structure in each of the references anticipates the elements of claims 12 and 15 as originally filed, as the undersigned was unable to do so. Thus, it is respectfully submitted that the rejections of claims 12 and 15 and the claims which depend therefrom have been overcome. Favorable reconsideration is respectfully requested.

The Examiner has cited the United States patents and publication listed in NOTICE OF REFERENCES CITED as M of Page 1 of 2 and A-F of Page 2 of 2 and indicated consideration of the Taiwanese patent cited by applicant. By the lack of application of these references and others like them within the classes or subclasses searched, the Examiner apparently recognizes the clear patentability of the present invention over any of these references.

Therefore, since the claims of the present application have been shown to include limitations directed to the features of applicant's reclining apparatus for chair which are neither shown, described, taught, nor alluded to in any of the references cited by the Examiner and by the applicant, whether those references are taken singly or in any combination, the Examiner is requested to allow claims 2-21, as amended, of the present application and to pass this application to issue.

Respectfully submitted,

Yu-Hong Lin



Alan D. Kamrath, Reg. No. 28,227
NIKOLAI & MERSEREAU, P.A.
Attorneys for Applicant
900 Second Avenue South, Suite 820
Minneapolis, MN 55402
Tel: (612) 392-7306
Fax: (612) 349-6556

Dated: July 10, 2006.